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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Stress Engineering Services, Inc. performed a series of tests for Air Logistics, Inc. to evaluate the Aqua Wrap system for repairing mechanically-damaged pipelines. The purpose of the test program was to determine the benefits derived in repairing damaged pipelines subjected to cyclic pressure service using composite materials as well as grinding to remove gouges. Recognizing that third-party damage is the leading cause of pipeline failures in the United States, there is a significant need to have repair systems that can restore the serviceability of damaged pipelines.

The test program involved installing mechanical damage in the form of gouges and dents in two Grade X52 pipe sizes: 12.75-inch x 0.188-inch and 12.75-inch x 0.375-inch. Four 6-inch longitudinal gouges (depths of 15 percent of wall thickness) were installed in each of the 20-ft pipe samples using electric discharge machining (EDM). Dents were installed in each of these gouges with an initial depth of 15 percent of the pipe diameter (an elastic rebound occurs after the indenter is removed). Previous experience and research has shown that dents combined with gouges represent the most severe type of pipeline damage. After the dents were installed, the pipe samples were taken to 50 percent of the operating pressure which was selected as 72% SMYS (specified minimum yield strength) to achieve a final residual dent depth. After pressurization a significant portion of the dent depth was removed (e.g. the dent depth for Sample AL-188-1 went from 5.0 percent before pressurization to a final dent depth of 2.3 percent after pressurization, while Sample AL-375-1 went from 7.8 percent before pressurization to a final dent depth of 5.2 percent after pressurization). This rerounding is expected, typical, and indicates that initial indentation levels may be severe even though a relatively shallow dent remains. After the dents were installed and pressurized according to the test procedure, the gouges were removed by grinding. This was done using a hand-held grinder and performed using gradual passes to ensure that too much of the pipe wall would not be removed. The dye penetrant inspection technique was used to ensure that the cracks at the base of the gouge were completely removed. On each of the two samples two of the dent/gouge defects were repaired by grinding, the other two were not. Of the two unground defects on each pipe sample, one was repaired using Aqua Wrap (making for a total of three composite repairs on each 20-ft long pipe sample). The intent of this repair was to see what level of reinforcement would be provided to unground dent/gouge defects.

Once the dents and gouges were removed, Aqua Wrap was installed over the appropriate damaged regions of the pipe samples. Installation was performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations that specified the width and thickness of the repair. Once all of the repairs were made,
the materials were allowed to cure and pressure cycling was initiated. Testing involved cycling the samples to a pressure range equaling 100 percent of the maximum operating pressure. The test pipes were cycled until a failure occurred. When a failure did occur it was removed via cut-out and the remaining sections of the pipe re-welded so that pressure cycling could continue.

It is clear from the results of the test program that the reinforcement provided by Aqua Wrap provides an increase in the fatigue life of unrepaired mechanical damage. For the 12.75-inch x 0.188-inch pipe (D/t = 68) the fatigue life was increased from 103,712 cycles for the unrepaired sample, up to 928,736 cycles for the repaired sample (increase by a factor of 8.9). In a similar but more significant manner, the fatigue for the 12.75-inch x 0.375-inch pipe (D/t = 34) was increased from 2,272 cycles for the unrepaired sample up to 49,008 cycles for the repaired sample (increase by a factor of 21.6).
TESTING PROGRAM AND PROCEDURES

A specific test program was carried out on Aqua Wrap. This program represents experience in testing and analyzing mechanically-damaged pipe spanning more than a 15-year period. The test program involves the two pipe sizes shown below. Appendix A contains documents associated with material testing (originally used to confirm the X52 grade). The purpose in selecting two pipes with different diameter to wall thickness ratios (D/t) is that the fatigue life of dented and mechanically-damaged pipes has been shown to be directly related to the pipe D/t ratio.

- 12.75-in x 0.188-in, Grade X52, diameter to wall thickness ratio of 68 (Sample AL-188)
- 12.75-in x 0.375-in, Grade X52, diameter to wall thickness ratio of 34 (Sample AL-375)

The test procedures for the cyclic pressure fatigue test are outlined below.

1. Purchase pipes and install end caps that have been fitted with 1-inch weld-o-let bossets.

2. Use EDM to create 6-inch longitudinally-oriented gouges that are 15 percent of the pipes nominal wall. The cross-sectional profile of the gouge is similar to a Charpy V-notch configuration with a 90° bevel and a 0.002-inch radius at the base of the notch. Four (4) gouges were installed in each of the two (2) pipe samples, making for a total of eight (8) defects. The following gouge defects were made 90 degrees relative to the longitudinal pipe weld seam.
   a. Four (4) 6-inch long gouges, 0.028-inch deep in the 12.75-in x 0.188-in pipe
   b. Four (4) 6-inch long gouges, 0.056-inch deep in the 12.75-in x 0.375-in pipe

3. Install dents in the pipe using a 6-inch wide plate. The initial indentation depth will be 15 percent of the pipes outer diameter and the indenter plate. Four dents will be installed in each 20-ft long pipe samples. Each dent will be offset 2 inches longitudinally from the respective gouge, resulting in a total defect length of 8 inches. Figure 1 shows the dent installation rig.

4. Allow each dent to reround elastically with removal of the indenter and measure the longitudinal profile (side view of dent and process shown in Figure 2).

5. Apply internal pressure equal to 50 percent of the maximum operating pressure (36 percent of SMYS) and hold for 5 minutes. Return the internal pressure to 0 psi and measure the profile.

It should be noted that four (4) dent-gouge defects were installed in each pipe sample. Three (3) of these defects were repaired using the composite material and removal of the gouge by grinding; however, one defect was NOT repaired by grinding. The intent of the single defect was to serve as a baseline test case for unrepaired defects.
The following sequence of events was used in performing the repair of the defects:

[1] Remove the gouge by grinding with a hand-held grinder. Dye penetrant was used to ensure that the crack was completely removed. Measure the remaining wall thickness. **Figure 3** shows the application of dye penetrant to the damaged region and **Figure 4** shows one of the samples polished in its final state before installation of the repair material.

[2] Repair three of the four pipe defects using the composite reinforcement system. This includes the following activities:
   
   a. Prepare surface of pipe (for present short-term study, sandblasting not required)
   
   b. Fill in dented region of the pipe with a filler material to ensure proper load transfer for composite material from the carrier pipe.
   
   c. Install the composite material using the appropriate number of wraps.
      
      i. 12.75-in x 0.188-in pipe (thickness measured to be 0.830 inches)
      
      ii. 12.75-in x 0.375-in pipe (thickness measured to be 1.125 inches)
   
   d. Allow to cure in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations.

[3] Start fatigue testing. Each sample was pressure cycled at 100% MAOP (72% SMYS or 100 to 1,200 psi for the 0.188-in wall pipe and 100 - 2,300 psi for the 0.375-in wall pipe) until failure occurs. As failures occur, the defects were cut out and removed to permit continued pressure cycling.

**Figures 5** through **8** are photographs taken during the installation of Aqua Wrap on the damaged sections of the test pipes.
Figure 1 - Dent installation rig to install dents

Figure 2 - Measuring dent depth and profile
Figure 3 - Dye penetrant used to ensure gouge removal by grinding

Figure 4 - Gouge removed by grinding
Figure 5 - Epoxy material used to fill the dented region

Figure 6 - First layer of Aqua Wrap installed on pipe
Figure 7 - Continued wrapping of Aqua Wrap in repairing damage

Figure 8 - Perforating plastic wrap to permit off-gassing during cure
RESULTS OF THE TEST PROGRAM

The results associated with implementation of the test program involve several important aspects. The first involves documentation of the dents themselves such as information on the force required to create the dents, dent depth, profile length, and response to internal pressure. This information is important as the ability to relate test data to actual field dents is directly related to the geometry of the dent. Additionally, it is important to document the test conditions and results associated with cyclic service. The conditions associated with the test pressure ranges are much more severe than most pipelines will experience in several lifetimes. For this reason it is important that the presentation help the reader make sense of the results as they relate to actual operating conditions of typical pipelines. The sections that follow provide details on these two areas of documentation.

Measurements Associated with Dent Geometry

There are several important parameters that were measured during the process of creating the test dents. These include:

- Dent depth as a function of test period (initial dent, rebound after indentation, and depth after pressurization)
- Dent profile measured along the length of the pipeline
- Force required to create the dents
- Pipe wall thickness before and after grinding

Table 1 provides a list of dent depth measurements taken during testing. Also included in this table are the average forces required to create the dents. As noted, the average force required to generate dents in the thicker-walled pipe is approximately 3.5 times the average force required to create dents in the thinner pipe having a nominal wall thickness of 0.188 inches. Table 2 provides a list of measured wallthicknesses taken near the two defects in each sample that were repaired by grinding. Also included in this table are the percentages of remaining wall after grinding.

Table 9 shows the longitudinal profile measurements for test samples AL-188-1 and AL-375-1. The measurements correspond to readings taken after initial indentation that capture the elastic rebound and measurements taken after pressurization to 50 percent MAOP. As with the data presented in Table 1, it is clear that a significant portion of the dent is removed by the application of internal pressure.
### Table 1 - Sample dent depths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Target Dent Depth (a) (inches and percent O.D.)</th>
<th>Interim Dent Depth (b) (inches and percent O.D.)</th>
<th>Residual Dent Depth (c) (inches and percent O.D.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-1</td>
<td>1.9 (15%)</td>
<td>0.637 (5.0%)</td>
<td>0.293 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-2</td>
<td>1.9 (15%)</td>
<td>0.626 (4.9%)</td>
<td>0.290 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-3</td>
<td>1.9 (15%)</td>
<td>0.514 (4.0%)</td>
<td>0.240 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-4</td>
<td>1.9 (15%)</td>
<td>0.607 (4.8%)</td>
<td>0.272 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**12.75-inch x 0.188-inch, Grade X52 (D/t = 68)**

**Average force of 26,010 lbs. required to generate dents**

### Table 2 - Wall thickness change of samples repaired by grinding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Nominal Wall Thickness (inches)</th>
<th>Measured Wall Base Pipe Thickness (inches)</th>
<th>Wall Thickness after Grinding (inches and percent nominal wall)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-3</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.168 (89.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-4</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.314 (83.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-375-3</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.158 (84.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-375-4</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.306 (81.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(a) **Target dent depth** is depth indenter initially pushed into pipe with no internal pressure
(b) **Interim dent depth** is the depth corresponding to elastic rebound as the indenter is removed from the pipe with no internal pressure.
(c) **Residual dent depth** is the depth remaining after the pipe sample was pressurized to 50 percent SMYS (760 psi for the 12.75-in x 0.188-in sample and 1,520 psi for the 12.75-in x 0.375-in sample)
Fatigue Test Results

Fatigue testing applied a range of pressures equaling 100 percent of the MAOP (72% SMYS) to each pipe. The following pressure ranges were applied to the test samples:

- 12.75-in x 0.188-in, Grade X52: pressure range from 100 psi to 1,200 psi (1,100 psi MAOP)
- 12.75-in x 0.375-in, Grade X52: pressure range from 100 psi to 2,300 psi (2,200 psi MAOP)

Table 3 provides a summary of the fatigue test results including the cycles to failure for each of the 8 test samples. There are several noteworthy trends associated with the tabulated data.

- The cycles to failure for the unrepaired defects in the 12.75-inch x 0.188-inch pipe are unusually high. It is quite likely that the trend is due to the fact that the yield strength for this pipe was measured to be 69,700 psi. In this situation the applied stress range was insufficient to generate and grow the crack in a short period of time. The thicker wall pipe did not demonstrate this trend and showed a greater difference between the unrepaired and repaired samples.
- As expected, the pipe having the larger D/t ratio had a long fatigue life. This is consistent with the mechanics of the problem and previous research that show thinner wall pipes reround with internal pressure. As the effects of the dent are reduced, the fatigue life is increased.
• Although Aqua Wrap increased the fatigue life of the AL-188 sample, the effects of the repair were more pronounced with lower D/t pipe of the AL-375 sample.

In addition to the tabulated data, fatigue results are presented in Figure 10 that plots cycles to failure for the Aqua Wrap samples as well as data from previous research programs associated with mechanical damage. The predominant observation made in viewing this figure are the benefits derived in repair by grinding and using composite materials as compared to unrepaired mechanical damage. If one considers a pipe having a D/t ratio of 50 with a dent of 15 percent and a gouge of 15 percent, the fatigue life can be estimated from Figure 10 as follows.

- An unrepaired defect has an approximate fatigue life of 100 cycles
- A defect that has been repaired by grinding has an approximate fatigue life of 1,000 cycles
- A defect that has been repaired by grinding and fitted with an Aqua Wrap composite sleeve has an approximate fatigue life of 100,000 cycles

This trend is consistent in what has been observed with other composite repair systems. The primary reason for the increase in fatigue life is that the composite material restrains the dent and prevents significant rerounding during the process of pressure cycling. It is the flexure of the dent that is the basis for the initiation and propagation of fatigue cracks in both mechanically-damaged pipes as well as pipes having plain dents (i.e. dents without gouges). Even though plain dents have fatigue lives that are significantly longer than pipes with mechanical damage (i.e. dents with gouges), the long-term failure of plain dents results from fatigue cracks that initiate in the dented region of the pipe.

Figures 11, 12, 13, and 14 show failures for samples AL-188-1, AL-118-2, AL-375-1, and AL-375-2, respectively. The key point to note is the radial deformation that occurs in the unrepaired defects (AL-188-1 and AL-375-1). The extensive deformation associated with the unrepaired defects confirms that the filler material must have a sufficient level of rigidity to prevent the radial deformation due to internal pressure.
**NUMBER OF CYCLES AS A FUNCTION OF PIPE DIAMETER TO WALL THICKNESS RATIO**

Data plotted are based on a cyclic pressure range of 50% MAOP
All defects involved a dent of 15 percent (d/D) and a gouge depth of 15 percent (d/t)

![Diagram showing the number of cycles as a function of pipe diameter to wall thickness ratio.](image_url)

**Figure 10 - Fatigue test results for mechanically-damaged samples**
Figure 11 - Post-failure photo of Sample AL-188-1

Figure 12 - Post-failure photo of Sample AL-188-2
Figure 13 - Post-failure photo of Sample AL-375-1

Figure 14 - Post-failure photo of Sample AL-375-2
Table 3 - Fatigue Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Residual Dent Depth (a) (inches and percent O.D.)</th>
<th>Cycles to Failure at 50% MAOP (b) (100% MAOP)</th>
<th>Notes on sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-1</td>
<td>0.293 (2.3%)</td>
<td>103,712 (6,482)</td>
<td>Unrepaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-2</td>
<td>0.290 (2.3%)</td>
<td>104,424 (6,544)</td>
<td>Aqua Wrap, NO grinding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-3</td>
<td>0.240 (1.9%)</td>
<td>928,736 (58,046)</td>
<td>Aqua Wrap, grinding (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-188-4</td>
<td>0.272 (2.1%)</td>
<td>103,536 (6,471)</td>
<td>Aqua Wrap, grinding (pinhole leak developed under wrap, not found via inspection after testing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-375-1</td>
<td>0.658 (5.2%)</td>
<td>2,272 (142)</td>
<td>Unrepaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-375-2</td>
<td>0.606 (4.8%)</td>
<td>10,448 (653)</td>
<td>Aqua Wrap, NO grinding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-375-3</td>
<td>0.592 (4.6%)</td>
<td>23,296 (1,456)</td>
<td>Aqua Wrap, grinding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-375-4</td>
<td>0.628 (4.9%)</td>
<td>49,008 (3,063)</td>
<td>Aqua Wrap, grinding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(a) *Residual dent depth* is the depth remaining after the pipe sample was pressurized to 50 percent SMYS (760 psi for the 12.75-in x 0.188-in sample and 1,520 psi for the 12.75-in x 0.375-in sample).
(b) Even though the samples were pressure cycled at 100% MAOP, it is possible to estimate the fatigue life at 50% MAOP using Miner’s Rule and a fourth order relationship between stress range and cycles to failure.
(c) Grinding used to remove gouge before Aqua Wrap installed on pipe.
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

In order for composites to be used on gas and transmission pipelines, pipeline operators will eventually require compliance with a recognized code or standard. Although the use of composites in repairing steel pipelines is widely-accepted among both gas and liquid operators, only recently have the ASME transmission pipeline codes recognized their use (B31.4 for liquid transmission pipelines and B31.8 for gas transmission pipelines). Additionally, in general the emphasis in using composite material has been on the repair of corrosion and not dents, gouges, or mechanical damage. This is expected as the greater potential for catastrophic failure in pipelines resides in the repair of mechanical damage as opposed to repairing corroded sections of pipe.

This section of the report has been prepared to address statements in the ASME B31.4 and B31.4 pipeline codes that relate to using composite materials to repair pipelines as well as comments related to repairing mechanical damage. To ensure clarity, an independent discussion on each of the two codes is provided.

**ASME B31.4 - Pipeline Transportation Systems for Liquid Hydrocarbons and Other Liquids**

In terms of composite usage the following statement is made in ASME B31.4

451.6.2 Disposition of Defects
(c) Repair Methods

(14) Mechanically applied composite material wrap may be used to reinforce the pipeline provided that design and installation methods are proven for the intended service prior to application. The user is cautioned that a qualified written procedure performed by trained personnel is a requirement and records shall be retained...

**ASME B31.8 - Gas Transmission and Distribution Piping Systems**

In terms of composite usage the following statement is made in ASME B31.8.

851.42 Permanent Field Repairs of Injurious Dents and Mechanical Damage

(e) Nonmetallic composite wrap repairs are not acceptable for the repair of injurious dents or mechanical damage, unless proven through reliable engineering tests and analysis.
COMMENTS AND CLOSURE

This report has provided documentation on a test program performed by Stress Engineering Services, Inc. for Air Logistics on the Aqua Wrap composite repair system for high pressure pipelines. Aqua Wrap is a water-activated pre-impregnated (i.e. prepreg) composite system that is installed directly over areas of pipeline damage. The focus of the test program carried out by SES was to address the ability of Aqua Wrap to repair mechanically-damaged pipes involving dents with gouges. The test program involved full-scale testing involving two Grade X52 pipe sizes: 12.75-inch x 0.188-inch and 12.75-inch x 0.375-inch. Four 6-inch long gouges (depths of 15 percent of wall thickness) were installed in each of the 20-ft pipe samples using EDM. Dents were installed in each of these gouges with an initial depth of 15 percent of the pipe diameter (an elastic rebound occurs after the indenter is removed). After the dents were installed, the pipes were pressurized to 50% MAOP to achieve a final residual dent depth. Finally, select gouges were removed by grinding and repairs were made using Aqua Wrap. Once all of the repairs were made, the materials were allowed to cure and pressure cycling was initiated. Testing involved cycling the samples to a pressure range equaling 100 percent of the maximum operating pressure. The test pipes were cycled until a failure occurred. When a failure did occur it was removed (cut-out) and the remaining sections of the pipe re-welded so that pressure cycling could continue.

It is clear from the results of the test program that the reinforcement provided by Aqua Wrap provides an increase in the fatigue life of unrepaired mechanical damage. For the 12.75-inch x 0.188-inch pipe (D/t = 68) the fatigue life was increased from 103,712 cycles for the unrepaired sample, up to 928,736 cycles for the repaired sample (increase by a factor of 8.95). In a similar but more significant manner, the fatigue for the 12.75-inch x 0.375-inch pipe (D/t = 34) was increased from 2,272 cycles for the unrepaired sample to 49,008 cycles for the repaired sample (increase by a factor of 21.6).

When Aqua Wrap is properly used to repair damaged pipeline, including the removal of shallow gouge defects by grinding, it is possible that a significant increase in fatigue life can be achieved over unrepaired defects. The results of this test program, along with supporting data from similar repair system, confirm the validity of this repair system. It should be noted, however, that significant care should be taken in repairing actual mechanically-damaged pipelines. Consideration of period service history, material quality, and extent of overall pipeline damage must be considered before making a pipeline repair using composite materials.
APPENDIX A - Material Test Reports
TO: Stress Engineering Services  
13800 Westfair East Drive  
Houston, Texas 77041  
Attn: Chris Alexander

TEST NO: 796-05  
P. O. NO: 
DATE: 6-7-05

DATE OF TEST: 6-7-05
REPORT OF TENSILE AND CHARPY TEST

MATERIAL / DESCRIPTION: One (1) piece 12” OD x .375” wall API 5L Gr. X52 Pipe

IDENTIFICATION: P/N 114315 CRA  
DATE RECEIVED: 6-6-05  
SPECIFICATIONS: Client instructions
TEST EQUIPMENT: T.O. 120990-1 Ext. CL5284
Tinius Olsen Model 74:264 Ft./Lb. 16.8 Ft./Sec. S/N 121155

TECHNICIAN: M. Steel / D. Chalmers  
PROCEDURE: HML-TTM-1-94 Rev. 1  
COMPLIANCE: HML-CVN-1-94 Rev. 1

TENSILE TEST RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIMEN NO</th>
<th>DIMENSIONS</th>
<th>SQ. AREA</th>
<th>YIELD STRENGTH</th>
<th>TENSILE STRENGTH</th>
<th>% ELONG.</th>
<th>% ROA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>796-05</td>
<td>1.501 x .369</td>
<td>.5539</td>
<td>66,100</td>
<td>73,100</td>
<td>39.85</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHARPY IMPACT TEST RESULTS
ASTM E23 TYPE: a SIZE: 10mm x 7.5mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIMEN NO</th>
<th>TEST TEMP</th>
<th>NOTCH LOCATION</th>
<th>FT. LBS.</th>
<th>% SHEAR</th>
<th>LAT. EXP (MILS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>796-05 (Transverse)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Ambient</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>+32 degrees F</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REVIEWED BY:  
RONALD RICHTER  
PRINCIPAL / QA MANAGER

HML letters / reports are for the exclusive use of the client to whom they are addressed and apply only to the sample tested and/or inspected. Letters/reports are not necessarily indicative of the qualities of apparently identical or similar products.
TO: Stress Engineering Services  
13800 Westfair East Drive  
Houston, Texas 77041  
Attn: Chris Alexander

TEST NO: 795-05  
P. O. NO:  
DATE: 6-7-05

DATE OF TEST: 6-7-05

REPORT OF TENSILE AND CHARPY TEST

MATERIAL / DESCRIPTION: One (1) piece 12” OD x .188” wall API 5L Gr. X52 Pipe

IDENTIFICATION: P/N 114315 CRA  
DATE RECEIVED: 6-6-05  
PROCEDURE: HML-TTM-1-94 Rev. 1

SPECIFICATIONS: Client instructions  
TECHNICIAN: M. Steel / D. Chalmers

TEST EQUIPMENT: T.O. 120990-1 Ext. CL5284  
Tinius Olsen Model 74:264 Ft./Lb. 16.8 Ft./Sec. S/N 121155  

COMPLIANCE:

TENSILE TEST RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIMEN NO</th>
<th>DIMENSIONS</th>
<th>SQ. AREA</th>
<th>YIELD STRENGTH</th>
<th>TENSILE STRENGTH</th>
<th>% ELONG. IN 2 IN.</th>
<th>% ROA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>795-05</td>
<td>1.505 x .184</td>
<td>.2769</td>
<td>69,700</td>
<td>78,900</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHARPY IMPACT TEST RESULTS
ASTM E23 TYPE: a SIZE: 10mm x 2.5mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIMEN NO</th>
<th>TEST TEMP</th>
<th>NOTCH LOCATION</th>
<th>FT. LBS</th>
<th>% SHEAR</th>
<th>LAT. EXP (MILS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>795-05 (Transverse)</td>
<td>Ambient</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>+32 degrees F</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ID  
AFTER MAPPING  
AFTER MACHINING

REVIEWED BY: RONALD RICHTER  
PRINCIPAL / QA MANAGER
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